

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/723,697	SHIEH, YANG T.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeff H. Aftergut	1733

All Participants:

(1) Jeff H. Aftergut.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Frank Linguiti.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

21, 29

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed a need to change "role" to --roll-- in claim 21 at lines 13 and 14 and in claim 29 at line 16 to correct the language of the claim. Noted that while vacuum impregnation was known per se in the art, it was not performed in the process of producing a covered roll as claimed and thus the claimed invention defined over the prior art of record..